

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,180	04/04/2005	Go Nagaya	•	5597 .
23373 7590 09/20/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			VANAMAN, FRANK BENNETT	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			PAPER NUMBER
			3618	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	09/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/530,180 NAGAYA, GO **Interview Summary** Examiner Art Unit 3618 Frank Vanaman All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Frank Vanaman. (2) Diallo Crenshaw. Date of Interview: 12 September 2007. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1,3 and 6. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant suggested a number of possible changes to the claims specifically with regard the the rejections set forth under 35 USC §112, second paragraph. As regards the term "direct moving guide" applicant commented concerning the applicant serving as his/her own lexicographer, and the examiner suggested that applicant may desire, in that case, to identify a specific portion of the specification which serves as the basis for describing the nature of the guide itself, and applicant would be welcome to introduce further clarifying language in the claim itself; as regards the term "constant-velocity joint-like coupling" (claim 6) the examiner noted that the use of "-like" renders the claim indefinite in that it is not clear what un-recited attributes of a constant velocity joint are intended to be included in the recitation (and similarly what attributes are not intended to be included in the recitation). As regards this latter recitation, applicant suggested that an amendment may be made to the description of the joint to recite that it can turn in a steering direction.

حلا